



arin

PHOENIX, AZ • 10-11 OCT

32

Fee Structure Review Panel Status Report

John Curran

Fee Structure Review Panel

- The goal of the 2013 ARIN Fee Structure Review Panel is to consider the various long-term fee structures raised in the community discussion of this topic and to summarize their pros/cons in a balanced report
- Report to be provided to the community as a common basis for discussion of potential changes
- Specifically not chartered to make a specific recommendation for fee structure change

Fee Structure Review Panel - Status

- Open call for panelists – done
- Selection by ARIN FinCom – done
- Fee Structure Review Panel appointed – done

ARIN Board Finance Committee Members:

Paul Anderson (*Chair*)

Aaron Hughes

Bill Woodcock

John Curran

Fee Structure Review Panel - At-Large Members:

Tim St. Pierre

Steve Feldman

Brandon Ross

Daniel Alexander

Michael Sinatra

Fee Structure Review Panel - Status

- Initial Document Draft (outline) – done

Covers the following fee structure proposals:

- Current ARIN Fee Structure
- Extending IPv4 Fee Categories Proposal
- Linear IPv4 Fee Categories Proposal
- Realign IPv6 Categories Proposal
- Algorithmic Fee Proposal
- Membership-based Proposal
- Transaction-based Proposal

For each, the review document summarizes:

- Merits of the proposed fee structure
- Potential issues arising from proposed fee structure

Each complete fee structure proposal is included as an appendix

Fee Structure Review Panel – Timing

- Additional work is needed on the Fee Structure Review document
- Realistic ETA is completion between now and year-end 2013
- Resulting document posted as supporting material to a community consultation on whether any change to the fee structure is desired
- Consultation will run up to and including ARIN 33 (Spring 2014)
- ARIN Finance Committee will consider the input received during consultation and Fee Structure session at ARIN 33 and determine next steps.
- If a fee structure change is proposed, the specific changes will be proposed as a new fee structure, and then put out for public comment and discussion before adoption (this may also require development of online tools or supporting documentation, as was done with the most recent fee change.)

