

ARIN-2014-11
Improved Registry Accuracy
Proposal

Problem Statement

- The importance of maintaining accurate records in the ARIN database is recognized as the Registries principal task and is not being debated. The Registry is unable to responsibly fulfill this task. Many resource holders are not incented through mutual benefits to participate in the registry, the process or the community and instead operate successfully outside of its bounds further hampering the mission of accuracy.
- To create a sustainable RIPE 605-like environment in the ARIN region that provides mutual benefits to legacy holders and ARIN and in support of vastly improved and accurate registry service.

Policy Statement

Section 1, Adds to "Principles"

- **Accuracy**
 - The principle of Accuracy guarantees stakeholders that all reasonable and mutually beneficial steps will be taken to insure that the Registry is as accurate as possible.
- **Fairness**
 - The principle of Fairness guarantees stakeholders that they will be treated fairly with respect to whatever class of resources they hold, whether they are pre or post RIR assigned addresses.
- **Value Add**
 - The principle of Value Add guarantees that the Registry, in its effort to insure that all of the principles are applied equitably, will seek to add value to all resource holders regardless of class by insuring such things as rapid update functionalities and reasonably easy transfer administration.
- **Mutual Benefit**
 - The principle of Mutual Benefit guarantees that ARIN will enter into or dissolve contracts related to legacy resource holders in like fashion of comparable Registries.

Policy Statement (cont'd)

Section 2, Adds to "Definitions"

- Legacy Internet Resource
 - Any Internet Resource obtained prior to or otherwise outside the current system of hierarchical distribution (by allocation or assignment) through the Regional Internet Registries.
- Legacy Internet Resource Holder
 - The holder of a Legacy Internet Resource. Either by receiving these resources directly or by receiving (part of) Legacy Internet Resources from a Legacy Internet Resource Holder.
- Registry Service Element
 - In practice, any Legacy Resource Holder actually avails of a subset of the Registry Services mentioned above. Where it is necessary to distinguish between the entire class of Registry Services and the specific Registry Services actually provided in a particular case, the latter are described as Registry Service Elements.

Discussion

- What impact would changing the principals section have to existing policy?
 - In Section 8 the recipient is required to have signed an RSA as one of the conditions. Will this policy change supersede that?
- Are the definitions of a Legacy Resource and Legacy Resource Holder correct?
- What would you add or remove from the proposal? Are there aspects that are outside of the NRPM?
- Questions, Comments?