

DALLAS | TX \* 20-21 OCT 2016

# Recommended Draft Policy ARIN 2015-7 Simplified requirements for demonstrated need for IPv4 transfers

**Rob Seastrom** 

### **Problem Statement Overview**



ARIN transfer policy currently inherits the demonstrated need requirements for IPv4 transfers from section 4 of the NRPM.

Because the section was written primarily to deal with free pool allocations and assignments, it is more complicated than is necessary for transfers.

This proposal seeks to simplify the needs assessment process for 8.3 transfers, while still allowing organizations with corner-case requirements to apply under existing policy.



Add section 8.1.x Simplified requirements for demonstrated need for IPv4 transfers:

- A recipient of IPv4 number resources has the option to demonstrate need by having an officer of the requesting organization attest that they will use at least 50% of their aggregate IPv4 addresses (including the requested resources) on an operational network within 24 months.
- Organizations may instead choose demonstrate the need for number resources using the criteria in section 4 of the NRPM.

4



- This policy could be implemented as written.
- Staff would apply this policy language to 24-month needs assessments for 8.3 transfers, 8.4 transfers, and pre-approval requests.

# Staff and Legal (continued)



#### • Staff:

 If 8.3 and 8.4 recipient organizations choose the new option, staff would no longer conduct a needs assessment for the requested IPv4 block size and will accept the attestation of an officer as full justification for the requested IPv4 block size.

### Counsel

 Permitting receipt of resources based solely on attestation by an officer permits some amount of fraud, as it enables those willing to make a fraudulent statement the ability to obtain resources. The present combination of officer attestation and staff verification provides stronger assurance of compliance with policy intent.





#### Do you support this policy as written?

Do you prefer it over the other three policies dealing with similar problems?

