



Recommended Draft Policy ARIN-2020-1: Clarify Holding Period for Resources Received via 4.1.8 Waitlist

Chris Tacit and Alicia Trotman

History

Proposal	29 January 2020
Draft Policy	25 February 2020
Recommended Draft Policy	21 July 2020

Staff and Legal Review

Summary (Staff Understanding)

ARIN-2020-1 would allow organizations who have received space from the waitlist to transfer that space via M&A transfer without the standard waiting period of 60 months. Other transfers would still not be allowed until after that period.

Staff understands that the remainder of that 60 months will continue to apply to the M&A transferred space after the transfer is complete.

For example, if an organization obtains space from the waitlist and transfers it via M&A six months later, that space would be subject to the remaining 54 months before being eligible for an 8.3 or 8.4 transfer.

Staff and Legal Review

ARIN Staff Comments

This text is clear and understandable, and can be implemented as written.

ARIN General Counsel – Legal Assessment

No material legal issue.

Resource Impact

Implementation of this policy would have minimal resource impact. It is estimated that implementation would occur within 3 months after ratification by the ARIN Board of Trustees. The following would be needed in order to implement:

- Staff training
- Updated guidelines and internal procedures
- Standard documentation updates

Problem Statement

A Policy Experience Report reported ambiguity on the part of customers as to whether or not the 60-month restriction on transferring resources received via NRPM Section 4.1.8 applies to M&A transfers under NRPM Section 8.2. This proposal clarifies this restriction to exempt 8.2 transfers from this restriction.

Policy Statement

Updated NRPM Section 4.1.8 as follows:

“Address space distributed from the waitlist will not be eligible for transfer, **with the exception of Section 8.2 transfers**, for a period of 60 months.”

The **red** text would be new if adopted.

Community Feedback

Despite a couple of attempts to generate discussion on PPML, the volume of feedback has been very low.

A small number of community members have expressed concern that the proposed exception could lead to fraud.

Another view expressed is that not putting the policy in place may just reduce directory accuracy.

Thanks!

Any

Questions?

???