

# Policy Proposal 2006-1

## Residential Customer Privacy



# Policy Proposal 2006-1

## Description

- Suppresses certain items of information regarding customers of organizations that receive allocations from ARIN

\*\*\*\*\*

### AC Shepherds

- ⇒ Marla Azinger
- ⇒ Paul Andersen

# Policy Proposal 2006-1 History

<b>Introduced on PPML</b>	<b>18 JAN 06</b>
<b>Designated Formal Proposal</b>	<b>26 JAN 06</b>
<b>First PPM Discussion</b>	<b>ARIN XVII</b>
<b>Community Consensus</b>	<b>Revise Proposal</b>
<b>Last Revision</b>	<b>Not Revised</b>
<b>Subsequent PPM Discussion</b>	<b>ARIN XVIII</b>

Proposal Text In Meeting Packet  
[http://www.arin.net/policy/2006\\_1.html](http://www.arin.net/policy/2006_1.html)

# Policy Proposal 2006-1

## Legal Assessment\*

**Liability Risk: None**

**Comment:**

**“However, this type of policy may have a series of impacts in different portions of the Internet community. Let me give a single example: Law enforcement authorities have a desire for real time ability to obtain information of the type that will now be masked. This may increase the burden on ISP's who must be correspondingly contacted more often to obtain such information. The government's might wish a different policy. Issues of customer privacy and how ARIN's policies impact such issues remains an area of ongoing legal concern and attention.”**

\* March 2006

# Policy Proposal 2006-1

## Staff Comments\*

- **Suppression of Public Display**
  - ⇒ Effect on Users of Data
  - ⇒ Ambiguous Use of Term "Private Residence/Customer"
- **Information Suppression Collection**  
Diminished Utilization Verification
- **NRPM Change – Modifies sections**
  - 3.2
  - 4.2.3.7.6
  - 6.5.5.1

\* October 2006

# Policy Proposal 2006-1 Implementation Assessment\*

- **Resource Impact: Moderate**
- **Implementation: 90 days After BoT Ratification**
- **Implementation Requirements:**
  - ⇒ Registration Software Changes
  - ⇒ Guidelines Change
  - ⇒ Staff Training

\* October 2006

# Policy Proposal 2006-1

## PPML Discussion

- 2 for, 3 against
- Comments:

Posts	People
46	16

- ⇒ "...publishing city/state/postal code does not offer a reasonable level of privacy protection for those in small areas..."
- ⇒ "I'm for a good privacy policy. The one in place now is superior to this one..."

# Policy Proposal 2006-1

[http://www.arin.net/policy/2006\\_1.html](http://www.arin.net/policy/2006_1.html)