Original Version

2007-22 Expand timeframe of additional requests The proposal is to modify section 4.2.4.4 of the NRPM

Current wording:

"After a subscriber has been a member of ARIN for one year they may choose to request a six-month supply of IP addresses."

Change to:

"After an organization has been an ARIN member in good standing for one year, they may choose to request up to a 12 month supply of IP addresses."

Modified Version based on feedback from ARIN staff

2007-22 Expand timeframe of additional requests
The proposal is to modify section 4.2.4.4 of the NRPM

Change header

4.2.4.4 Twelve Months

"After an organization has been a subscriber member of ARIN for one year, they may choose to request up to a 12 month supply of IP addresses."

Change header

4.2.4.4 Twelve Months

"After an organization has been a subscriber member of ARIN for one year, they may choose to request up to a 12 month supply of IP addresses."

The why?

- Reduce the number of allocations needed for a growing network to provide for better aggregation.
- To bring continuity to allocation practices between the RIRs. While a global policy may be the better route, it is easier to change the policy of one RIR rather than the other four.

Change header

4.2.4.4 Twelve Months

"After an organization has been a subscriber member of ARIN for one year, they may choose to request up to a 12 month supply of IP addresses."

From the NRO website "RIR Comparative Policy Overview"	
AfriNIC	1 year
APNIC	Up to 1 year
ARIN	3 months for new ISP
	6 months after being an ARIN member for one year
LACNIC	12 months
RIPE NCC	Up to 12 months

Change header
4.2.4.4 Twelve Months

"After an organization has been a subscriber member of ARIN for one year, they may choose to request up to a 12 month supply of IP addresses."

Does this proposal move in the wrong direction and promote hoarding?

- Hoarding is based on the assumption that the application review process is flawed. This should not be addressed in this policy.
- Is the timeframe the best means to regulate this issue. If false documentation is being provided, the timeframe won't matter. There are proposals to provide auditing capabilities, which is where the review should happen. We shouldn't restrict organizations that are legitimately growing.

Change header
4.2.4.4 Twelve Months

"After an organization has been a subscriber member of ARIN for one year, they may choose to request up to a 12 month supply of IP addresses."

Does this move in the wrong direction and increase the "run on the bank"?

 This proposal does not alter the demand curve. The slow start method of allocations and the application review process are the throttle, not the timeframe. The allocations to established organizations are based on historical growth.

Change header
4.2.4.4 Twelve Months

"After an organization has been a subscriber member of ARIN for one year, they may choose to request up to a 12 month supply of IP addresses."